



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,610	03/17/2004	Hisakatsu Yamaguchi	108390-00061	1910
4372	7590	05/07/2009	EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			TSE, YOUNG TOI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com
IPMatters@arentfox.com
Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,610	YAMAGUCHI, HISAKATSU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	YOUNG T. TSE	2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. The amendment filed on 27 April 2009 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) entered.

b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) disapproved. See explanation below.

e) entered in part. See explanation below.

The amendment filed on April 27, 2009 didn't include the changes by the examiner's amendment, such as claims 11, 13, 18, and 20 mailed on January 28, 2009. Further, the changed from "the pattern comparison circuit" to "the pattern comparison circuitry" at claim 11, line 25 lacks antecedent basis. Furthermore, Claim 13 also claims most of the claimed elements recited in claim 11. However, why some of the changes amended in claim 11 are not amended in claim 13?

/YOUNG T. TSE/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611